## EXHIBIT 7

		1 v. Office States of Tahlerica, et al
		Page 1
1	UNITED ST	FATES DISTRICT COURT
		r OF SOUTH CAROLINA
2		LESTON DIVISION
		N ADMIRALTY
3		
4	TIFFANY N. PROVENCE	E, AS THE
	PERSONAL REPRESENTA	ATIVE OF
5	THE ESTATE OF JUAN	ANTONIO
	VILLALOBOS HERNANDI	ΞΖ,
6		
	Plaint	iff,
7		
	vs.	CASE NO. 2:21-cv-965-RMG
8		
	UNITED STATES OF AN	MERICA,
9	CROWLEY MARITIME CO	ORPORATION,
	CROWLEY GOVERNMENT	SERVICES,
10	INC., DETYENS SHIP	
	AND HIGHTRAK STAFF	
11	D/B/A HIGHTRAK STA	
12	Defenda	ants.
13		
- 4	VTC	
14	30(b)(6)	
1 -	(CONTINUED)	OOM DV GOVEDNMENE
15	DEPOSITION OF: CI	
16	51	ERVICES BY PAUL VARGHESE
17	DATE: Ma	arch 9, 2022
18		0:44 AM
19		ines & Gilsenan, LLC
		535 Hobby Street, Suite 203D
20		narleston Navy Yard
_ •		orth Charleston, SC
21		
	TAKEN BY: Co	ounsel for the Plaintiff
22		
	REPORTED BY: Pi	ciscilla Nay,
23		ourt Reporter
		Remotely via VTC)
24		
25		

Page 3 (EXHIBIT 1, Amended Notice of 1 2. Deposition, was marked for identification.) 3 PAUL VARGHESE being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. YOUNG: 6 7 Ο. Okay. Sir, we were talking earlier. You -- you mentioned that when you were first port 8 9 engineer you operated vessels in ROS status and 10 that at the time the Lummus was up here at Detyens 11 Shipyard in April of 2019 it was in RAV status. 12 Can you tell us what RAV status means. That is correct. RAV is Repair 13 Α. availability status. So during RAV the vessel is 14 15 not fully certified. 16 It is not fully crewed and it is not operational. It is a maintenance -- a repair 17 18 status. It's a repair status. 19 Okay. And while the vessel is in O. 20 repair status are members from the Crowley crew on 21 the vessel? 2.2 Α. There are -- there a reduced number of 23 RAV crews specified by the MSC contract. 2.4 THE COURT REPORTER: And I'm sorry to 2.5 interrupt. I was just going to ask. Sir, I am

Page 23 1 BY MR. YOUNG: 2. Ο. Okay. The safety management system 3 still existed? It just didn't comply because the vessel wasn't in operational status. 4 Is 5 that right? 6 Α. That is accurate. 7 Ο. All right. And so the safety management system for the Lummus, would it include 8 9 all kinds of safety procedures that would apply to 10 the Lummus? 11 When the vessel is under the Α. 12 master's command and operating there will be 13 procedures and forms and all the policies that 14 applies to the vessel. 15 Ο. Okay. And would those safety 16 management policies include policies that were 17 pertinent to the lifeboat systems on the vessel? 18 On the Lummus. 19 Yes, while in operation. Α. 20 While it's in operation? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 O. And then when it's -- when it's in dry 23 dock those policies are suspended but the policies 24 don't change or anything? They just don't have to

be --

2.5

March 9, 2022

Page 24 1 I --Α. 2. Ο. -- in place if it's not safe? 3 Α. I --Is that right? 4 Ο. 5 I would say it is dormant, not Α. It is dormant and when the ship come 6 suspended. 7 back -- come back to, you know, certified status after the repairs that will become active. 8 Okay. And then you also mentioned that 9 Ο. 10 there are some external auditors like the American 11 Bureau of Shipping that would have its own safety 12 management systems that are distinct and different 13 from Crowley's. Is that right? 14 Two things. American Bureau of 15 Shipping has their own, but we do not deal with 16 that. We were talking in the context of the Lummus 17 or Crowley Government Services externally audited 18 by ABS. That is our auditing authority. 19 Ο. Yes, sir. So, in other words, ABS will 20 review Crowley's internal safety management system 21 and either approve it, make recommendations to it 2.2 or whatever. Is that right? 23 Α. That is correct. 24 Q. Okay. So there is a safety management system for the Lummus that has been reviewed and 25

Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al Page 27 1 that's -- okay. 2. Q. Yeah. I see that on there. That's on 3 the face of Exhibit Number 3, correct? Yeah. 4 Α. 5 But for reference purposes and for Ο. 6 today's deposition what do you want to call this? 7 Should we call it the MSC government, the government contract or what? How do you refer to 8 9 it in your office? 10 We call it ship management contract. Α. 11 It's the ship management contract. 12 Ο. The ship management contract. 13 right. And this ship management contract sets 14 forth the rights and responsibilities of both the 15 United States and Crowley. Is that right? 16 That's correct. Α. 17 This is the master controlling document Q. 18 between the United States and what it expects of 19 Crowley and what Crowley's responsibilities are and 20 what it expects from the United States. Is that 21 right? 2.2 Α. That is correct. If anything changes there will be an amendment provided. 23 24 O. Okay. All right. So like it says here

on Exhibit Number 3 this was first issued July 25th

2.5

	Page 37	
1	correctly that the technical manual is an addendum	
2	to this contract and a part of this contract?	
3	A. You were tapering off. Can you repeat	
4	that.	
5	Q. Yes. Did I understand correctly that	
6	the technical manual is an addendum to this	
7	contract and a part of this contract?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. All right. And so while Crowley is	
10	administering the repairs to a vessel like the	
11	Lummus Crowley is required to comply with the	
12	technical manual. Is that correct?	
13	A. While in operation, while in repairs,	
14	Crowley write the specification to get the repairs	
15	done to bring it to that status.	
16	Q. Okay. In other words, the technical	
17	manual sets forth the requirements that the vessel	
18	has to meet to be in operational and certified	
19	status. Is that true?	
20	A. That is correct.	
21	Q. All right. And then while the	
22	vessel is not in operational status Crowley is	
23	responsible for ensuring that the work being done	
24	to the vessel will be such that the vessel can be	

back in operational status and compliance with the

25

Page 60 That means the (inaudible) vessels 1 Α. 2. are three positions of vessels in Guam, Saipan and 3 Diego Garcia. So we have to do an inspection to --THE COURT REPORTER: 4 I'm sorry. Can 5 you repeat your answer. I didn't catch that. 6 THE WITNESS: There are three 7 locations these vessels are positioned. One is 8 Diego Garcia. The other one is Guam and Saipan. 9 So we inspect the vessels there to identify the 10 repairs for generating the specification for the 11 repair availability. 12 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I did not catch the first location. I'm so sorry. 13 14 Diego Garcia. It's an MR. GILSENAN: 15 island in the Indian Ocean. 16 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 17 could not understand. Thank you. 18 MR. GILSENAN: Yep. 19 BY MR. YOUNG: 20 All right. Is this limited to vessels 0. 21 that are in one of those three locations? 2.2 Α. No. It can be on any vessels. We do 23 this because the vessels are, you know, in their 24 locations and we need to go there and find out the 2.5 items for repairs.

30(b)(6) Paul Varghese Cont'd March 9, 2022

Page 119 the contract requirements of Crowley was that 1 2. Crowley would be -- the last sentence there says 3 Crowley would be responsible to ensure that proper safety and health precautions are taken to protect 4 5 the work, the workers, the public and the property. I think you made that clear earlier. Do you agree 6 7 with that? 8 Α. Yes, when the ship is operating under 9 the master's command. And what's in the RAV status, wouldn't 10 Ο. 11 it? 12 The master does not have the Α. No. 13 command. When the master doesn't have the command 14 Crowley doesn't have the control of the vessel. 15 Ο. Okay. Well, while the vessel is in a 16 RAV status does Crowley have any responsibility for 17 safety or not? 18 Α. No. 19 O. Okay. 20 Α. No. 21 So while the vessel is in RAV status Ο. 2.2 Crowley has no responsibility whatsoever for 23 safety. Is that your testimony? 2.4 Α. The safety of the crew only. 2.5 While the vessel is in RAV O. Okay.

	Provence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al
	Page 143
1	injury to employees and people around the work. Is
2	that right?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. Okay. And what, if anything, did
5	Crowley do to make sure Detyens complied with this
6	contractual requirement?
7	A. That Crowley have the representative
8	there. They do have the safety meetings and then,
9	you know, daily meetings and progress meetings and
10	to verify that that job is done correctly.
11	Q. Okay. So a Crowley person is actively
12	involved in making sure that the job is being done
13	properly and in a safe manner?
14	A. Crowley works in you know, they are
15	there to verify that, but they are not the person
16	in any of those items. That is why the shipyard
17	has to employ the property quality of the work
18	for work and then also to hire the workers who can
19	do the job.
20	So the responsibility is shifted to
21	the to the contractor and then the acceptance is
22	only from the Crowley's port.
23	Q. Okay. Well, how does Crowley verify
24	anything if it's not paying any attention to the

procedure?

25

Page 145

you know, with 200 plus items going on Crowley cannot supervise all that and say there's nothing done wrong. That is where the safety management system of Detyens comes into place.

Q. Okay. Fair enough. But I want to make sure I understand Crowley's position.

Crowley's position is you require

Detyens to do it, but I can't tell whether Crowley
is actively involved in reviewing whether Detyens
are doing it or whether Crowley just defers
completely to Detyens and has no involvement in
that process.

- A. Crowley allows Detyens to do their job. Crowley will monitor whatever they can and then they will do the final testing and acceptance to make sure that the specification work item is complete and in accordance with the spec.
- Q. Okay. And so if Detyens did not use its best efforts to provide a safe work environment that would be a breach of this contract, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then one of the other requirements here is Crowley says that all equipment that -- and it's in that same Section A. All equipment that presents a hazard or potential

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

	Trovence, finally 1. v. omed states of fine rea, et al.	
	Page 180	
1	A. I would say that was an alternative	
2	because if Crowley changes their policy they could	
3	do it but at this point our our direction from	
4	the you know, the technical the government	
5	the technical models requirement states that we	
б	should not be giving the direction. We should not	
7	be telling the shipyard how to do the job.	
8	Q. Okay. So I think I followed you there.	
9	The government has a requirement that prevents you	
10	from telling Detyens how to do its job?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Is that right?	
13	A. There are specifications in	
14	the government technical directives or	
15	requirements, government MSC general technical	
16	requirements. It's a document and for	
17	specifications of (inaudible)	
18	Q. Okay. So there is a specification	
19	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. For	
20	specification of what?	
21	THE WITNESS: Specification	
22	(inaudible.)	
23	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Of the	
24	government?	

Development.

MR. GILSENAN:

25

vence, Tiffany N v. United States of America, et al

Page 230 1 Α. Right. 2. 0. Okay. And does Crowley Government 3 Services feel entitled to rely on the trained safety officers at Detyens Shipyard? 4 5 That is the custody and control of the 6 Detyens Shipyard. Then we have to go by the 7 Detyens Shipyard safety management system. Under the impression and also based on -- based on the 8 9 information they provided Detyens had fully -- you 10 know, fully implemented their safety management 11 system in place. 12 Okay. And is the Crowley Government 0. 13 Services port engineer -- is he sent to the 14 shipyard as a safety expert? 15 He does not have a safety -- he 16 has basic safety training, but not any specific 17 kind of inspection or safety training. 18 Okay. And is he sent to the shipyard Q. 19 as an expert in rigging? 20 Α. No. 21 In fact, Detyens has a rigging Ο. Okay. 2.2 shop. Correct? 23 Detyens has a rigging shop there and Α.

they have a department that do -- that does that.

That is the reason Crowley is -- you know, Crowley

24

2.5